1	A	I don't have a current contract for access to it
2	Q	Well, you
3	A	so I don't know what's in the contract.
4	Q	All right. You testified that you are an employee
5	of Waymak	er Company. Is that correct?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	And as an employee of Waymaker Company, do your
8	duties in	clude providing management services to Raystay
9	Company?	
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And to your understanding, do other employees of
12	Waymaker (Company also provide management services to Raystay
13	Company?	
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Have you, in the past at any period of time, been an
16	officer o	f Raystay Company?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	What period of time were you an officer?
19	A	I don't remember the beginning date, but it was for
20	quite some	e time. The ending date was in 198 I believe it
21	was 1988.	It was either '88 or '89.
22	Q	Subject to check, would you accept the proposition
23	that the	record, I think, indicates that you were an officer
24	from 1973	until April 1989? Does that sound about right to
25	you? I de	on't want to put words in your mouth.

1	A April I know it was April and it was either '88
2	or '89.
3	Q All right. And does 1973 sound about right as to
4	when you became an officer?
5	A It's possible, yes.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Can we get a stipulation about the
7	dates on which he was an officer of Raystay?
8	MR. EMMONS: Can we talk for a second?
9	MR. COHEN: Could I talk with Mr. Emmons for one
10	second?
11	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. We'll go off the
12	record.
13	(Off the record. Back on the record.)
14	MR. COHEN: We'll stipulate to that.
15	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Emmons.
16	BY MR. EMMONS:
17	Q And Mr. Gardner, the office that you held, according
18	to the information that we've developed through stipulation
19	and earlier phases of this case with counsel for Raystay is
20	that you held the position of vice-president of Raystay from
21	1979 excuse me, from 1975 until 1989. Is that correct as
22	far as you know?
23	A It sounds correct.
24	MR. SCHAUBLE: If I could just point out, I believe
25	there were other during periods of the time, when there

1	were other officers offices that he held.
2	MR. EMMONS: That may be. I just wanted to
3	establish the position of vice-president.
4	BY MR. EMMONS:
5	Q But, Mr. Gardner, I take it though that you have not
6	been an officer of Raystay since April 1989. Is that correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Now, although not an officer of Raystay since April
9	1989, I take it you have, from 1989 to the present, still been
10	actively involved in Raystay's affairs. Is that correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q In your capacity as an employee of Waymaker Company
13	providing management services?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Now, would you generally describe what the main
16	business of Raystay has been over the last five years? What
17	does Raystay do?
18	A Raystay owns and operates cable television systems
19	in Pennsylvania, Maryland, and West Virginia. It owns and
20	operates W40AF which is a low-power television station. You
21	said the main business?
22	Q Yes.
23	A Those are really the main businesses that I'm aware
24	of.
25	Q And where is Raystay's corporate headquarters?

1	A	Carlisle, Pennsylvania.
2	Q	Now, does Waymaker Company have offices at the same
3	location	in Carlisle?
4	A	They're at the same address, yes.
5	Q	In the same building?
6	A	There is a building complex with more than one wing
7	and Rayst	cay's in one wing and Waymaker's in another.
8	Q	But they use the same address for mailing purposes,
9	for examp	ole?
10	A	Yes.
11	Ω	And is it true that Waymaker Company employs the
12	Raystay c	orporate management team, that they're all employees
13	of Waymak	er company?
14	A	I do not have any access to payroll, so I don't know
15	who's on	what payroll.
16	Q	In other words, as between Waymaker and Raystay, you
17	don't kno	w except for yourself, you don't know who's on
18	what payr	oll?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	Now, since April 1989, what has been your title at
21	Raystay?	I'm going to use the term Raystay, if I may, rather
22	than Waym	aker. But if you think that it is confusing or
23	misleadin	g to use the term Raystay in any particular context
24	in which	I ask a question, please say so.
25	A	All my paychecks come from Waymaker Company, so

1 everything I do is for Waymaker Company. 2 But your services are for the benefit of Raystay 3 Company. Α Correct. 4 5 So with that understanding, I'd like to use the term 6 Raystay just so we don't trip over the words as we go along. 7 But if -- as I say, if you feel that it is misleading in any 8 -- in the context of any particular question, to be using the 9 word Raystay instead of Waymaker, please let me know, 10 otherwise I'll use Raystay. And so my question is, again, 11 what has been your title since 1989? 12 A Contract manager. 13 And what have your duties been as contract manager? 14 I have worked with other members -- other employees A 15 of Raystay or Waymaker to secure and write contracts for 16 different types of services, mostly program services that 17 cable television uses. I've been generally, along with Lee 18 Sandifer, in charge of securing insurance for all the 19 operations and I have worked with other employees who get 20 involved in it, also, to secure the licenses and operating 21 permits from the FCC and from other government bodies that are 22 necessary to operate cable television systems and the LPTV. 23 Now, with respect to your contract-related work, do 24 you, from time to time, negotiate contracts? 25 A I do.

1	Q Do you, from time to time, review contracts that
2	have been negotiated by others?
3	A I do.
4	Q And has this been the case over the last five-year
5	period?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Now, what kinds of contracts have you been involved
8	in negotiating before and let me specify something. You've
9	mentioned program service contracts already. Let me ask you
10	about real estate contracts. Have you been involved at any
11	time in negotiating real estate contracts? And I now by
12	any time, I mean, I'm going back to your experience, so I'm
13	not confining it just to the last five years, but your
14	experience since you have been well, let me ask you a
15	question. Let me ask you a question. How long have you been
16	employed by Waymaker?
17	A Could you say that again?
18	Q Yes. How long have you been employed by Waymaker?
19	A I've been employed by Waymaker on and off since 1973
20	on a full-time basis. I believe there was a couple of years
21	when my payroll was shifted from Waymaker to Raystay, but it's
22	now back with Waymaker.
23	Q Is it correct to say that at least since 1973, you
24	have been you have worked essentially full-time on Raystay
25	matters?

1	A	No. That's not correct.
2	Ω	Well, have you been let me phrase it this way.
3	Have you	been a full-time employee since 1973 of either
4	Waymaker	Company or Raystay Company?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And since 1973, you say it's not correct to say that
7	you worke	ed full-time on Raystay matters. Would you explain in
8	what sens	e that would not be a correct statement?
9		MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
10		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Overruled.
11		MR. GARDNER: Waymaker Company is a management
12	company a	nd as such, has had other clients than Raystay.
13		BY MR. EMMONS:
14	Q	So some of your work is for other clients other than
15	Raystay.	
16	A	It was been in the past.
17	·Q	Now, let me go back to the question I started this
18	line with	which is I want to understand your experience
19	with cont	ract work. How long have you been negotiating
20	contracts	?
21	A	Probably since 1973.
22	Q	Now, has your contract work experience included work
23	with real	estate contracts?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Has it included work with contracts involving the

1	purchase o	or lease of equipment?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Has it included work with contracts for the purchase
4	of program	mming?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Has it included work with contracts for advertising?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Has it included lease of office space?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Has it included work with employment contracts?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Has it included work involving contracts for the
13	purchase o	or sale of cable television facilities?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Has it included work with contracts for the sale of
16	low-power	television permits?
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Has it included work with contracts for financing
19	agreements	3?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Has it included any other kind of contract work that
22	I haven't	listed there?
23	A	Probably.
24	Ω	What comes to mind?
25	A	I guess the things that I'm thinking of might be

1	cove	red ur	nder purchase or lease of equipment, so perhaps I'm
2	just	being	g specific to things that you covered generally.
3		Q	Now, do you have the authority to bind Raystay to a
4	conti	cact?	
5			MR. SCHAUBLE: Your Honor, is there a specific is
6	this	curre	ently?
7			BY MR. EMMONS:
8		Q	This is current and we'll go back.
9		A	No.
10		Q	At any time in the past, have you had authority to
11	bind	Rayst	tay to a contract?
12		A	Yes.
13		Q	Did there come a point in time when your authority
14	to b	ind Ra	aystay to a contract terminated?
15		A	Yes.
16		Q	When was that?
17		A	April of 1989.
18		Q	And that was when you ceased to be an officer of
19	Rayst	cay Co	ompany?
20		A	Yes.
21		Q	And since April of 1989, what person or persons in
22	the o	compar	ny have had the authority to bind Raystay to
23	conti	cacts	?
24	i	A	It's my understanding that any officer can do so.
25		Q	Is it your understanding that George Gardner must

1	make the final decision authorizing signing of a contract to
2	bind Raystay?
3	MR. SCHAUBLE: Your Honor, are we talking about a
4	specific type of contract or are you talking in general?
5	MR. EMMONS: In general.
6	MR. GARDNER: Do you mean today or
7	MR. EMMONS: Today.
8	MR. GARDNER: I know that other persons can other
9	officers of Raystay can sign contracts.
10	BY MR. EMMONS:
11	Q Can they do so, to your understanding, without
12	George Gardner's authority?
13	A No.
14	Q I'm sorry. The answer is
15	A No.
16	Q Now, you mentioned that your duties include securing
17	FCC licenses and operating permits. Would you describe what
18	specific duties you have in that regard?
19	A I keep all of the licenses and operating permits in
20	a file and I have my own particular file that lets me know
21	when a license or permit is expiring and I also rely on the
22	office of Cohen and Berfield to let me know when a license or
23	permit is expiring and prior to their expiration, we create
24	the necessary paper work to renew them or if there are new
25	ones needed because of a new type of operation or something,

1	we make th	ne applications for the new file for the new
2	licenses (or permits.
3	Q	So you are designated as Raystay's liaison with FCC
4	counsel?	
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	And Raystay's FCC counsel is the firm of Cohen and
7	Berfield?	
8	A	In most cases, yes.
9	Q	Raystay has used other FCC counsel from time to
10	time?	
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Is that primarily in cable matters?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Now, who designated you to be Raystay's liaison with
15	FCC counse	el?
16	A	George Gardner.
17	Q	When did he so designate you?
18	A	My best recollection is as far back as 1973.
19	Q	Now, when you were an officer of Raystay, did you,
20	from time	to time, sign FCC applications filed by Raystay?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	And for how long a period of time did you do that?
23	A	I don't recall. It was a long time.
24	Q	Now, do your duties as Raystay's FCC liaison or
25	liaison w:	ith FCC counsel, do those duties include

1	responsibility for FCC compliance?
2	A It's my understanding that any time I'm aware of a
3	noncompliance issue, that I should bring it to the attention
4	of the appropriate people at Raystay or Waymaker or whatever
5	other company that we were managing to have them rectify it.
6	Q And how long has that been your understanding?
7	A Since 1973.
8	Q And who designated you to have that responsibility?
9	A George Gardner.
10	Q And when did he designate that responsibility?
11	A I don't recall the exact time.
12	Q Was that also back in the early days?
13	A Very far back, yes.
14	Q And what instructions did George Gardner give you at
15	that time in the on the matter of FCC compliance?
16	MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.
17	We're dealing here with a very remote time frame, nowhere near
18	the time frame of the events in question here.
19	MR. EMMONS: Well, Your Honor, we're dealing with
20	standing instructions that would apply no matter when they
21	were given and would presumably apply up through the time at
22	issue in this
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: The objection's overruled.
24	BY MR. EMMONS:
25	Q Do you have the question in mind, Mr. Gardner?

1	A Could you repeat it, please?
2	Q Sure. What instructions did George Gardner give you
3	on the subject of FCC compliance?
4	A I don't recall specific instructions.
5	Q Do you know of anyone else at Raystay Company or
6	Waymaker Company whom George Gardner has designated as having
7	specific responsibility for FCC compliance matters?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Who?
10	A I believe it would be within the responsibility of
11	each system manager to take responsibility for compliance
12	within his cable system and I believe it would be within the
13	requirements of the job of chief operating officer or the
14	operating officer of Raystay Company to make sure that all
15	Raystay's operations are in compliance with all licenses and
16	permits.
17	Q You say that you believe that that would be the
18	case. My question is are you aware of any specific
19	instructions George Gardner has given to any such individuals
20	or any specific designation he has made of such individuals
21	for FCC compliance?
22	MR. SCHAUBLE: Are we talking currently or
23	BY MR. EMMONS:
24	Q At any time.
25	A Yeah. As I said, there is certainly the requirement

1	that the local system manager have his system in compliance at
2	all times and since he now reports "he" being a system
3	manager reports to an operating manager, I would believe
4	that the operating manager also would have that responsibility
5	for each system.
6	Q Now, do your duties in the area of FCC compliance
7	involve review by you of FCC applications for accuracy?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Would you describe what procedures are in place for
10	that?
11	A There's no really written procedure. I review each
12	document as it comes to me for from Cohen and Berfield most
13	of the time for accuracy before I send it on for signature.
14	Q Does that pertain to particular kinds of
15	applications or does that pertain to any FCC application?
16	A Any FCC application that I'm given.
17	Q Are you given all FCC application or only some?
18	A I can't say for sure because the ones that I
19	wouldn't have been given, I wouldn't have knowledge of. But I
20	suspect that there are licenses and permits that are applied
21	for without my review.
22	Q Do you know what determines whether you are called
23	upon to review an application?
24	A I'm sorry. I don't understand the question.
25	Q Well, you've indicated that you do review some

1 applications before they are filed, but you have also 2 indicated that you believe that there are other applications 3 that Raystay files that are not given to you for review and my question, therefore, is do you know what criteria determine 4 whether or not you are given an FCC application to review? 5 No, I don't. A 6 7 Now, are you an engineer? 8 A No. 9 Have you ever had any engineering training? Q 10 A No. Have you ever performed any engineering duties? 11 12 When you say engineer -- going back to your last A 13 question, I said no because I've had no formal engineering 14 training. I've performed some engineering duties. Can you describe them? 15 0 16 Generally -- I'll use the word ascertain. 17 looked at engineering documents and tried to determine if they look reasonable. I've looked at different sites for different 18 19 types of operations and tried to determine if the site is 20 acceptable for the type of operation that we're considering. 21 I've taken a look at existing facilities to determine if 22 they're in -- the type of facilities that we could use for 23 what we're interested in using for and if they are in good 24 repair and order or need repairs, that sort of thing. 25 Does Raystay or Waymaker on behalf of Raystay employ

1	in-house engineers?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Are you responsible for supervising the in-house
4	engineers?
5	A No.
6	Q Who does supervise them?
7	A I know George Gardner is an engineer and I don't
8	know who supervises the other engineers.
9	Q Now, how many years of experience have you had in
10	dealing with FCC matters?
11	A Well, as I've said, I probably have worked with the
12	FCC since 1973 on.
13	Q Am I correct that Raystay owned radio station WEEO-
14	AM in Waynesboro, Pennsylvania for a number of years during
15	the seventies and eighties?
16	A I know it was owned in the seventies. I thought it
17	was sold prior to 1980, but my memory could be lapse on that.
18	Q Were you involved in the operation or management of
19	WEEO when Raystay owned it?
20	MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. I
21	think we're getting very remote in time frame here. I think
22	we're going beyond the necessary background we need here to
23	MR. EMMONS: Your Honor, an essential part of the
24	background is the witness' experience in dealing with the FCC
25	because the matter at issue is are statements made to the

1	CCC and the witness' experience	-
2	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'll overrule the objection.	1
3	BY MR. EMMONS:	
4	Q Do you have the question, Mr. Gardner?	
5	A Yes. I worked closely with WEEO.	
6	Q In what capacity?	
7	A I had no official capacity, but I took a great	
8	interest in trying to make it work successfully.	
9	Q Now, am I correct that Raystay also owned AM radio	
10	station WTTO in Toledo, Ohio from 1973 to 1976?	
11	A Those sound like the correct dates and it had a	
12	series of call letters, one of which I believe was WTTO.	-
13	Q And were you involved in the management or operation	n
14	of that station?	
15	A I was.	
16	Q And are you familiar with the a company named	
17	West Shore Broadcasting Company?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q Would you tell us what that was?	
20	A West Shore	
21	MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance.	
22	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Is it for the same purpose, to sho	w
23	nis experience with FCC	
24	MR. EMMONS: Same response, Your Honor.	
25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Overruled.	

1	[MR. GARDNER: West Shore Broadcasting owned an FM	
2	radio sta	tion in Mechanicsburg, Pennsylvania.	
3	1	BY MR. EMMONS:	
4	Q	And was that approximately 1978 to 1982?	
5	A	Yes.	
6	Q	Now, were you a part-owner of that company?	
7	A	Yes.	
8	Q	Were you also an officer and director of that	
9	company?		
10	A	I believe so.	
11	Ω	And were you involved in the operation or management	
12	of that station?		
13	A	Yes.	
14	Q	Now, with respect to the three stations we've talked	
15	about, WE	EO in Waynesboro, WTTO in Toledo, and the	
16	Mechanicsburg station, WQVE, did part of your involvement		
17	include r	elating to the FCC with applications or responses to	
18	inquiries	or to compliance matters or submission of annual	
19	reports o	r anything, any interrelationship with the FCC?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	Now, am I correct that you, as an individual, filed	
22	an applica	ation for a construction permit for an FM station in	
23	Tavernier	, Florida in October of 1990?	
24	A	Tavernier.	
25	Q	Tavernier. I'm sorry.	

1	A	Yes.
2	Q	That's T-A-V-E-R-N-I-E-R?
3	A	Correct.
4	Q	And that application was subsequently dismissed?
5	A	As far as I'm concerned, it's been terminated and I
6	don't kno	w the exact procedure that it was terminated, but the
7	FCC termi	nated it at some point.
8	Q	Well, that's the point I was trying to establish.
9	And is it	also correct that you are an officer and a director
10	and a fif	ty-percent owner of FM station KPVS in Hilo, Hawaii?
11	A	No.
12		MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection, Your Honor. Relevance. I
13	think	
14		JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, the witness has answered no,
15	that he's	not. I'll overrule the objection.
16		BY MR. EMMONS:
17	Q	Were you ever part of an application for such a
18	station?	
19	A	Yes. The ownership percentage is wrong.
20	Q	I'm sorry. What is your ownership percentage?
21	A	It's less than fifty percent.
22	Q	And that was filed in February 1991?
23	A	I don't know the exact date, but that's reasonable.
24	Q	And you now hold a construction permit for that
25	station?	

1	A	I'd have to defer to counsel on that. We're in the
2	process of	f trying to get it renewed. I don't know that it's
3	been renev	wed.
4	Q	When you say renewed, you mean extended?
5	A	Extended. Excuse me.
6	Q	Now, were you relieved by George Gardner as an
7	officer of	f Raystay in April of 1989?
8	A	Relieved as your word, yes.
9	Ω	Well, do you accept that form of words?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Why was that done?
12		MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection. Relevance.
13		MR. EMMONS: Your Honor, the at issue here are
14	statements	s that were submitted to the FCC
15		JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'll overrule the objection.
16		BY MR. EMMONS:
17	Ω	Do you have the question?
18	A	Why was that done?
19	Q	Yes.
20	A	You'd have to ask George Gardner.
21	Q	Did George Gardner give you any reason why he did
22	it?	
23	A	His lawyers did it. His lawyers notified me I was
24	no longer	an officer.
25	Q	Did his lawyers tell you why that was the case?

1	MR. SCHAUBLE: Your Honor, for the record, objection
2	on the same basis.
3	MR. GARDNER: Should I answer the question?
4	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes.
5	MR. GARDNER: I don't recall if they told me or not.
6	It was very emotional and I don't recall.
7	BY MR. EMMONS:
8	Q You don't recall
9	A The reason.
10	Q the reason you were relieved as an officer?
11	A The reason his lawyers gave me, no, if they did.
12	They might've just said, "You've been terminated."
13	Q What about George Gardner himself? Have you ever
14	discussed with George Gardner himself the reason why you were
15	terminated as an officer?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What reason has he given you?
18	A I recall the conversation, but I don't recall the
19	reasons.
20	Q Well, what can you tell us about the conversations?
21	MR. SCHAUBLE: Your Honor, again, I have to pick up
22	object on the basis of relevance. Where are we
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: How is this relevant?
24	MR. SCHAUBLE: Where are we going?
25	MR. EMMONS: Your Honor, at issue are statements

1	submitted to the FCC over the signature of George Gardner, but
2	largely prepared and approved by David Gardner, and the
3	question of communication between them or lack thereof is
4	directly relevant to the question of whether the statements
5	were submitted in good faith or not to the Commission.
6	JUDGE CHACHKIN: But what does it have to do with
7	the reason he was terminated as an officer?
8	MR. EMMONS: Well, I think that, Your Honor, goes to
9	the question of the relationship between them and their
10	communications or lack thereof.
11	MR. SCHAUBLE: Your Honor, I think what's relevant
12	here is the communications and the procedures with respect to
13	the applications that were filed and I think we're now far
14	afield. We're now into the question of, you know, why were
15	certain actions taken back in 1989 and, you know, even if
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'm going to go ahead.
17	MR. SCHAUBLE: Even assuming there's some you
18	know, assuming arguendo, there's some connection as to how,
19	you know, as to how they communicated, that question doesn't
20	really isn't really relevant or responsive to that area.
21	JUDGE CHACHKIN: I'm going to sustain the objection
22	to that question. I don't see how it's relevant.
23	MR. EMMONS: Mr. Gardner
24	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Unless it dealt somehow with the
25	FCC If it just was something, a personal problem between

1	them, whatever it was, family matter, I don't see how that's
2	relevant. If it had anything to do with his performance, what
3	he was doing in connection with the FCC, that's a different
4	question. But that hasn't been developed.
5	MR. EMMONS: Let me ask something, Your Honor.
6	BY MR. EMMONS:
7	Q Mr. Gardner, in your discussions with George Gardner
8	about your termination as an officer of Raystay Company, was
9	were you given as a reason for your termination anything
10	that related to the performance of your duties insofar as they
11	related to FCC matters?
12	A No, sir.
13	Q Now, did the scope of your authority within the
14	company change at the time you were terminated as an officer?
15	A Yes.
16	Q In what respects did it change?
17	MR. SCHAUBLE: Objection. Relevance, Your Honor.
18	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Overruled.
19	MR. GARDNER: I no longer had the authority to bind
20	the company to contracts.
21	BY MR. EMMONS:
22	Q Is there any other way in which your authorities
23	changed?
24	A From time to time, job duties have changed among
25	individuals in management at Raystay. So job duties have

1	changed, but I don't know that they changed specifically in
2	April of 1989 for me.
3	Q Would it be accurate to say that before you were
4	terminated as an officer in April of 1989, you were a primary
5	decision-maker in the company?
6	A With regard to any decision of significance, George
7	Gardner would be the decision-maker prior to 1989 as well as
8	after. However, I did have the authority to bind Raystay and
9	Waymaker and contracts that I did not have after April '89.
10	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Ill of virtue of the fact that you
11	were no longer an officer?
12	MR. GARDNER: Correct.
13	BY MR. EMMONS:
14	Q Now, who succeeded you as vice-president of Raystay
15	Company?
16	A I don't recall. It could've been either Hal Etsell
17	or Lee Sandifer, but I don't recall specifically who did at
18	that time.
19	Q Now, before you were terminated as an officer in
20	April of 1989, to whom did you report in a corporate reporting
21	sense?
22	A George Gardner.
23	Q And after you were terminated as an officer in April
24	of 1989, to whom did you report?
25	A I still reported to George Gardner, I believe, until

1	Lee wel	ll, let's see. There probably was a period of time
2	that I rep	ported to Hal Etsell and then when Lee Sandifer was
3	hired, the	en I reported to him.
4	Q	And you continue to this day to report to Mr.
5	Sandifer?	
6	A	Yes.
7.	Q	Do you remember when it was that Mr. Sandifer was
8	hired?	
9	A	No, I don't.
10	Ω	Now, is George Gardner actively involved in the
11	management	t of Raystay?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Does he work full-time?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Does he maintain an office at the Raystay offices in
16	Carlisle?	
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	Does he set the policies of the company?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Does he make the final decisions for the company?
21	A	In all matters or significant matters?
22	Q	Let's say significant matters.
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Would you say that he supervises all aspects of the
25	company's	operations?